

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA398379**

Filing date: **03/16/2011**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92053594
Party	Defendant Deckers Outdoor Corporation
Correspondence Address	DECKERS OUTDOOR CORPORATION 495-A SOUTH FAIRVIEW AVENUE GOLETA, CA 93117 UNITED STATES
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action
Filer's Name	Ulan Holubec
Filer's e-mail	ulanaholubec@quinnemanuel.com, claudiabogdanos@quinnemanuel.com
Signature	/ulanaholubec/
Date	03/16/2011
Attachments	92053594 - Motion to Suspend the Proceeding.PDF (14 pages)(10494897 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

-----X
Ugglebo Clogs, LLC,

Cancellation No.: 92053594

Petitioner,

Mark: **UGG**

v.

Deckers Outdoor Corporation

Registration Nos.: 3050925, 3050903,
3050902, 3050865, and 3360442

Registrant.

-----X

MOTION TO SUSPEND THE PROCEEDING

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 2.117(a) and TBMP § 510.02(a), Registrant Deckers Outdoor Corporation (“Deckers” or “Registrant”) hereby requests that the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (the “T.T.A.B.” or the “Board”) suspend this cancellation proceeding pending the final determination of *Ugglebo Clogs, LLC v. Deckers Outdoor Corporation*, Case No. 11 cv 213 (“Civil Action”), which is pending between the parties before the United States District Court, District of Minnesota, and involves the same Deckers trademarks at issue in the instant proceeding, because the civil action will have a direct bearing on this proceeding.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Registrant is the owner of numerous world-famous UGG trademarks (the “Ugg Marks”) in connection with footwear and related goods and services, including the five registrations that are the subject of this proceeding (the “Ugg Registrations”). The earliest of these five registrations has a first use date of December 28, 1979, and all but one of these registrations was issued on January 24, 2006; the fifth having been issued on December 25, 2007. Almost five

years to the day after most of the Ugg Registrations issued, Petitioner initiated the present proceeding alleging, *inter alia*, a likelihood of confusion between the Ugg Marks and Petitioner's various common-law marks.

Subsequently, on January 28, 2011, Petitioner also filed a Complaint with the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota (the “District Court” or the “Court”) alleging, *inter alia*, that Registrant’s use of the Ugg Marks in connection with footwear constitutes unfair competition under the Lanham Act and is likely to create consumer confusion. (“Complaint,” attached hereto as Exhibit 1.) In the Complaint, Petitioner seeks, among other relief, an order enjoining Registrant from using the UGG Marks – the subject of the registrations at issue in the instant proceeding.

ARGUMENT

The Determinations in *Ugglebo Clogs, LLC v. Deckers Outdoor Corp.* Will Have A Direct Bearing On The Issues Before The Board.

Where a party to a case pending before the Board is also involved in a civil action that may have a bearing on the T.T.A.B. matter, the Board may suspend the proceeding until the final determination of the civil action. 37 CFR § 2.117(a); TBMP § 510.02(a). This is because “a decision by the United States District Court would be binding on the Patent Office whereas a determination by the Patent Office as to respondent's right to retain its registration would not be binding or res judicata in respect to the proceeding before the federal district court.” *Whopper-Burger, Inc. v. Burger King Corp.*, 171 U.S.P.Q. 805, 807 (T.T.A.B. 1971). Specifically, a court's decision regarding likelihood of confusion is binding on the T.T.A.B. *See, e.g.*, J. Thomas McCarthy, 6 *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition* § 32:94 (4th ed. 2009).

Registrant and Petitioner are both parties to the Civil Action, which is currently pending before the District Court, and in which Petitioner asserts legal claims against Registrant's UGG

Marks directly related to the claims raised in the instant proceeding. The District Court's determinations in the Civil Action will directly affect the resolution of the issues currently before the Board. For example, Petitioner's likelihood of confusion claim in the Civil Action – substantively identical to the confusion claim in the instant proceeding – is a direct challenge to the legal presumptions of exclusivity and ownership inherent in a federal trademark registration, which face the Board here.

Based on the foregoing, Registrant respectfully requests that the Board stay this proceeding pending the final determination of the Civil Action.

Dated: New York, New York
March 16, 2011

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
& SULLIVAN, LLP

By: _____


Robert Raskopf
robertraskopf@quinnemanuel.com
Claudia Bogdanos
claudiabogdanos@quinnemanuel.com
Ulana Holubec
ulanaholubec@quinnemanuel.com


51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010-1601
(212) 849-7000

*Attorneys for Registrant
Deckers Outdoor Corporation*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ulana Holubec, certify that on March 16, 2011, a copy of Registrant's MOTION TO SUSPEND THE PROCEEDING in *Ugglebo Clogs, LLC v. Deckers Outdoor Corporation* (Cancellation No.: 92053594) was served on counsel by First Class U.S. mail to:

J. Derek Vandenburg
Carlson, Caspers, Vandenburg & Lindquist, P.A.
225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402



Ulana Holubec, Esq.

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

UGGLEBO CLOGS, LLC,
a Minnesota LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

DECKERS OUTDOOR CORPORATION,
a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 11cv213 (JNE/HG)

**JURY TRIAL
DEMANDED**

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, UGGLEBO Clogs, LLC, for its Complaint against Defendant

Deckers Outdoor Corporation, states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a Minnesota limited liability company, having a principal place of business at 11130 Lake Point Drive, Chisago City, Minnesota 55013.
2. Defendant is a Delaware corporation, having a principal place of business at 495-A South Fairview Avenue, Goleta, California 93117.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted in this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332(a), 1338, and 1367. The claims alleged in this Complaint arise under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, Minn. Stat. § 325D.44, *et seq.*, and Common Law.

SCANNED

JAN 28 2011

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DULUTH

FACTS

4. Ugglebo brand clogs have been made and sold by the same family in Sweden for close to 50 years. The brand was created by the Carlsson family in 1965 and many family members in Sweden and the United States have worked in the business since its inception. During the late 1960's, Christer Carlsson joined and began managing the family business, and he remains an integral part of the hand-crafted clog making process, spending most of his day teaching and crafting clogs directly. He remains responsible for the manufacture of clogs to this day.

5. Since the inception of the brand, Ugglebo brand clogs have been made at the same facility located at Ugglebo 613, 388,99 PARYD Sweden.

6. Plaintiff is the owner of the worldwide rights to the Ugglebo trademark and the worldwide distributor of Ugglebo brand clogs.

7. The Ugglebo brand has been used on and in connection with the sale of basic Swedish clogs, comfort Clogs, high-fashion clogs and clog boots. Since the 1960's, Ugglebo brand clogs have been continuously sold in the United States and throughout the world.

8. During the 1970's, clogs sales and Ugglebo brand clog sales reached their peak popularity, particularly in the United States. During the 1980's, 1990's, and 2000's, Clogs diminished in popularity, but Ugglebo brand clogs continued to be sold in the United States.

9. Clogs are now enjoying a resurgence in fashion and popularity in the United States.

10. However, Deckers' use of Ugg as a brand for clogs and footwear impairs the ability to sell Ugglebo clogs and diminishes the value of the Ugglebo brand.

11. Ugg is generic in Australia to describe sheepskin boots. Legend is that Australian pilots wrapped their feet and legs with sheepskin to keep warm in unheated airplanes. The wraps were so ugly that they were referred to as "uggs." Later, a small Australian cottage industry began making sheepskin boots they called "uggs." That cottage industry became a commercial product in the 1970's when surfers began wearing them to warm their feet after surfing.

12. Legend suggests that "ugg" boots arrived in the United States in the late 1970's. Sales were limited primarily to surfers in California. In 1995, Deckers purchased the rights to the boot and began increasing the volume of product sold under the "ugg" name.

13. Through aggressive litigation, coincidence, and advertising, Defendant has successfully converted "ugg" from a generic term for sheepskin boots in Australia into a trademark for boots in the United States. Furthermore, Defendant has now extended the trademark to many non-boot footwear products such as, for example clogs, slippers and moccasins, as well as non-sheepskin products.

14. Upon information and belief, Deckers has known of the prior use of the Ugglebo brand since, at the latest, 1999 when the Carlsson family first used Ugglebo on its website. Upon information and belief, Deckers expanded the

product offerings under the Ugg brand and the geographic area of sales with knowledge of Ugglebo's prior superior rights and without regard to those rights. Deckers has knowingly created a trademark problem for itself and Ugglebo.

15. Decker's use of Ugg harms Plaintiff. The letters "U," "G," and "G" are the first three letters of Plaintiff's trademark and the most distinctive and memorable part of the Ugglebo trademark. Many consumers pronounce the "Ugg" in Ugglebo the same as they pronounce Deckers' Ugg mark, i.e., they say Ugg-le-bo or Uggly-bo.

16. Deckers use of the Ugg name for boots and non-boot footwear is likely to create consumer confusion.

17. Consumers are likely to believe that the Ugglebo brand clogs and Ugg brand products are from the same source or somehow related. Other consumers as well as some wholesalers, retailers, and consumers will conclude that Ugglebo is attempting to trade on the Ugg mark causing them not to buy Ugglebo products and diminishing the good will in the Ugglebo brand. Decker's appropriation of "ugg" for boots and non-boot footwear diminishes the value of the Ugglebo mark. Ugglebo has been damaged in an amount that exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00.

18. The likelihood of confusion is particularly acute for clogs and other products that are not traditional sheepskin boots.

COUNT I
(Federal Unfair Competition)
(Lanham Act § 43(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

19. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1–18.

20. Defendant's Use of Ugg in connection with footwear constitutes a false designation of origin, false or misleading description of fact, and a false or misleading representation of fact in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

21. Defendant's use of Ugg in connection with footwear is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the origin, affiliation, sponsorship, connection, or association of Defendant's and Plaintiff's products.

22. Defendant's unlawful actions have caused, and will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable harm unless enjoined.

23. Defendant's unlawful actions have caused Plaintiff monetary damage in an amount presently unknown, but in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT II
(Minnesota Deceptive Trade Practices Act)
(Minn. Stat. § 325D.44, *et seq.*)

24. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1–23.

25. Defendant's use of the Ugg mark in connection with footwear constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices under the Deceptive Trade Practices Act of the State of Minnesota.

26. Defendant's actions have caused, and will continue to cause, Plaintiff irreparable harm unless enjoined.

27. Defendant's unlawful actions have caused Plaintiff monetary damage in an amount presently unknown, but in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III
(Common Law Unfair Competition)

28. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1-27.

29. Defendant's use of the Ugg mark in connection with footwear constitutes unfair competition under common law.

30. Defendant's actions have caused, and will continue to cause, Plaintiff irreparable harm unless enjoined.

31. Defendant's unlawful actions have caused Plaintiff monetary damage in an amount presently unknown, but in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court enter judgment:

1. In favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant on all of Plaintiff's claims;

2. Enjoining and restraining Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys and all others in active concert or participation with Defendant, during the pendency of this action and thereafter permanently from:

A. Using the mark Ugg or any confusingly similar designation alone or in combination with other word or design, as a trademark, trade name component or otherwise, to market, advertise, or identify products and services not produced or authorized by Plaintiff;

B. Unfairly competing with Plaintiff in any manner whatsoever;

C. Causing a likelihood of confusion or injury to the business reputation of Plaintiff's Ugglebo Mark.

D. Using in any manner Ugg or any name containing Ugg or any variation thereof alone or in combination with any other word or letters and/or term as one or multiple words, in connection with any advertisement or promotion; and

E. Committing any other act or making any other statement which infringes Plaintiff's trademarks or service marks or constitutes an act of trademark or service mark infringement, contributory infringement, trademark dilution, or unfair competition under federal common law or Minnesota state law.

3. Requiring Defendant to deliver up, or cause to be delivered up, for destruction all labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles,

advertisements, and all other materials in the possession or control of Defendant that infringe Plaintiff's Ugglebo Mark.

4. Requiring Defendant to place appropriate disclaimers on any products sold under the Ugg name that are not enjoined;
5. Requiring Defendant to account for and pay over to Plaintiff all damages sustained by Plaintiff;
6. Awarding Plaintiff its attorneys' fees, treble damages, costs, and expenses pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1117; and
7. Awarding Plaintiff such other relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues triable as of right by a jury.

Dated: Jan. 28, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, CASPERS, VANDENBURGH
& LINDQUIST, P.A.

By 

Alan G. Carlson, #14801

acarlson@ccvl.com

J. Derek Vandenburg, #224145

dvandenburg@ccvl.com

Joseph W. Winkels, #349707

jwinkels@ccvl.com

225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 436-9600
Facsimile: (612) 436-9605

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
UGGLEBO CLOGS, LLC